

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>		<b>Application No.</b> 10/594,674	<b>Applicant(s)</b> MOSSALAYI ET AL.
		<b>Examiner</b> PHUONG HUYNH	<b>Art Unit</b> 1644
<b>All Participants:</b>		<b>Status of Application:</b> <u>Allowance</u>	
(1) <u>PHUONG HUYNH.</u>		(3) _____.	
(2) <u>Leonard C. Mitchard.</u>		(4) _____.	
<b>Date of Interview:</b> <u>22 July 2011</u>		<b>Time:</b> _____	
<b>Type of Interview:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)			
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No If Yes, provide a brief description: _____			
<b>Part I.</b>			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed: 59, 60, 64, 65 and 66			
Prior art documents discussed:			
<b>Part II.</b>			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: <i>Applicants agreed to the changes set forth in the Examiner's Amendment.</i>			
<b>Part III.</b>			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.			
<input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.			
/Phuong Huynh/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1644			
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)			